



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO.            |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10/675,737  | 03/04/2004  | Sanjay Wangoo        | 66329/33336                     | 6367                        |
| 23380 7590 06/10/2009<br>TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP<br>1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING<br>925 EUCLID AVENUE<br>CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>IBRAHIM, MOFIAMED   |                             |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>2444                | PAPER NUMBER                |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE<br>06/10/2009 | DELIVERY MODE<br>ELECTRONIC |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com  
christopher.luoma@tuckerellis.com

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/675,737

**Applicant(s)**

WANGOO ET AL.

**Examiner**

MOHAMED IBRAHIM

**Art Unit**

2444

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MOHAMED IBRAHIM.(3) John Garred (Reg. 31830).(2) Yemane Mesfin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed about the invention in general and explained the proposed amendment. Suggestions as how to further amend the claims were given to the applicant's representative. Based on the proposed amendment and the incorporation of the suggested features, it is seems to overcome the applied rejection. Determination as to the patentability of the case would be made upon the reception of the official amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yemane Mesfin/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2444